



Committee for the Assessment of General Education (CAGE)

Meeting Minutes

Wednesday, November 9, 2022 • 3:00pm – 4:00pm • Zoom

Present: K. Hunger, M. Bayles, W. Bailey, J. Comer, T. Lightner

Absent: CEAT Representative

Guests: R. Fitzgerald, K. Holcomb, P. Sainz Sujet

Call to Order: Meeting called to order at 3:02 pm

Approval of Minutes: Motioned by M. Bayles / Motion passed unanimously

Updates

- I. Professionalism and Ethics in General Education Section of the OSRHE Report
 - i. Based on the recommendations from J. Comer and M. Bayles in the October discussion, the decision was made to use the values 1-5 to represent the Professionalism and Ethics scores rather than using categorical labels.
 - ii. Annual OSRHE Report approved by the Office of the Provost for submission.
 - iii. Full General Education Report available for review/endorsement in Spring 2023
- II. New Institutional Assessment Plan – General Education updates pending direction and recommendations of the new Strategic Plan and the GE Task Force
 - i. March 2023 – new assessment plan due to OSRHE
 - ii. CAGE will be kept apprised to updates in the assessment plan relevant to General Education throughout the process

Discussion

- I. Written Communication and Critical Thinking – Current Cycle Year
 - i. Recruitment strategy for Fall 2022 and Spring 2023
 - o UAT will create a list of courses with the D, H, I, and S designations
 - o Artifacts will be collected from all course levels and comparisons will be made with emphasis in comparing freshmen and upperclassmen
 - o UAT will send an initial email blast to instructors of these courses at the end of Fall 2022 and CAGE representatives will follow-up with their respective instructors to encourage faculty participation in Spring 2023
 - ii. Revisit rubrics for relevance
 - o M. Bayles will revise the rubrics previously used in the last WC & CT administration to adopt the criteria of the 2010 OSU rubrics modified to fit a 4-point scale like the VALUE Rubrics
 - a. AAC&U Written Communication VALUE Rubric combined with 2010 OSU Written Communication Rubric
 - b. AAC&U Critical Thinking VALUE Rubric combined with 2010 OSU Critical Thinking Rubric
 - o These revised rubric drafts will be shared with the committee in the December meeting
 - iii. Goals of data collection
 - o The ideal number of artifacts to achieve a representative sample was estimated at 200-300
 - o K. Hunger will determine the appropriate number of artifacts per rater using previous administration methodologies
 - o UAT will determine the budget to support faculty raters

Upcoming Meeting Discussions

- II. Diversity (2023-2024)
 - i. Do we need to change anything? Revisit the rubric and recruitment process.
- III. General standards/guidelines/goals for artifact collection and review
 - i. What is our standard number of artifacts we wish to acquire? And subsequently, number of faculty raters? Budget? Does it vary by cycle topic?
- IV. Nature of writing assessment – artifacts

Adjourn: Meeting was adjourned at 3:48 pm by M. Bayles